# MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE CRIME SCRUTINY PANEL HELD ON WEDNESDAY, 1ST MARCH, 2023

**MEMBERS:** Councillors Mahmut Aksanoglu, Kate Anolue, Mustafa Cetinkaya (Associate Cabinet Member (Enfield South East)), Adrian Grumi, Ahmet Oykener, Michael Rye OBE and Patricia Gregory

Officers: Doug Wilkinson (Director of Environment & Operational Services), John Grimes (Head of Highway Operations), Andrea Clemons (Head of Community Safety), Martin Rattigan (Head of Environmental Protection & Bereavement Services), Eddie Henry (Street Lighting PFI Client Manager), Stacey Gilmour (Governance Officer)

Also Attending: Cllr Gina Needs, (Cabinet Member, Community Safety & Cohesion) Superintendent Rhona Hunt (Neighbourhood Policing, BCU North Area), Tim Fellows (Chair, Safer Neighbourhood Board), John Prior (Parent Engagement Network) Two members of the public. Local press representative.

# 1. WELCOME AND APOLOGIES

Cllr Michael Rye (Chair) welcomed everyone to the meeting.

Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Chris Dey, who was substituted by Cllr Pat Gregory, Tony Theodoulou, Executive Director, People, Ivana Price, Head of Service, Young People & Community Safety and Chief Inspector Karl Curran, North Area BCU, Partnerships & Neighbourhoods.

## 2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Cllr Adrian Grumi declared a non-pecuniary interest as he runs Enfield Crime Watch Facebook page.

## 3. MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING

**AGREED** the minutes of the meeting held on 7 December 2022.

# 4. PLEDGE FOR 50 ADDITIONAL OFFICERS IN ENFIELD

Cllr Gina Needs, Cabinet Member for Community Safety & Cohesion introduced the report and extended thanks to the panel for the invitation to attend as it was important for this report to come to Scrutiny to inform the panel and discuss the introduction of the new Community Law Enforcement Team. Feedback and questions were welcomed.

Martin Rattigan, Head of Environmental Protection & Bereavement Services highlighted the key messages including the vision for the service which will help to enhance a strong healthy community with a new team of officers to help tackle crime and anti-social behaviour, whilst working closely with the police to engage with the community. The work will support the priorities of the Safer and Stronger Communities Board (SSCB). This is a direct response to the ASB, crime and local environmental issues that matter most to residents. The visible presence of the team will provide a reassuring presence during the day and support night-time economies as the team grows. It will also enhance the feeling of safety so that all residents enjoy living in the borough, with flexible, intelligence driven resources deployed to hot spot areas.

Superintendent Rhona Hunt, Neighbourhood Policing, BCU North Area, commented from a police perspective and said that this is something that the police and council colleagues have been working on for some time, and that the introduction of the new Community Law Enforcement Team links into the Met's Turnaround Plan, which is about strengthening policing to achieve its mission of more trust, less crime, and higher standards. Rhona provided further information on the proposed powers, remit, and interface with the police, and she emphasised that the aim throughout the process is to make it clear what the role of the Community Enforcement Team will be, versus the role of a PCSO in terms of engagement, visibility, reassurance, building relationships, intelligence gathering and sign posting residents, and then Police Officers in terms of enforcement and prevention.

In response members commented as follows:

- 1. The Chair raised concerns about the potential of duplication with regards to enforcement powers and felt this this needed to be unpacked and explained. Doug Wilkinson, Director of Environment & Operational Services acknowledged this point but said that it is difficult to be explicit with regards to the different roles, and whether the new team are enforcement or engagement officers as it will be dependent on the situation but added that there will need to be powers to enforce in certain situations. However, the team's influence within the community will be their visibility, engagement and gaining intelligence. In conclusion, Doug said that the aim is to observe and review the team and develop it as it progresses.
- 2. In response to Members' questions about the operating model of the team it was advised that the team will consist of 50 and will be introduced in three phases with 17 posts being filled in 2023/24. The uniformed officers will be patrolling streets and housing estates in the borough and intelligence gathered will be channelled to other services that the Enforcement Officers will work closely with, including the Enviro Crime Team, Highway Officers, Community Enforcement, Planning Enforcement and the ASB Team. Initially Officers might be deployed to a group of Wards together, but once the team expands and is fully resourced operational officers will then be individually Ward based. The specific role and focus for each patch will be determined when the team is established

based on intelligence and data. Operational hubs are still to be determined but these will include full kits and bikes/electric bikes so that the teams can be mobile and most importantly, visible on the streets in their wards. One of the benefits is that the team will be tasked by Enfield Council who will look at the data and decide where the resource/teams are focused.

- In response to a question regarding how the team will link in with the CAPEs, officers confirmed that discussions were ongoing to decide how the new team will best fit with the current Police Ward Teams to ensure the most effective use of resources so that duplication does not take place.
- 4. Superintendent Hunt added that it will be very important for the public to be able to recognise the difference between the council officers and police officers and the difference in their roles, and this should not be confusing in any way for residents. Hammersmith and Fulham have learning on this which includes the name of the teams, their uniforms, branding etc, and this learning will be used by Enfield along with a proper communication plan so that all residents and council staff have a clear understanding of the new teams, how to identify them and their role in the community.
- 5. The Chair, Cllr Rye said that the clear identification of the team is crucial and therefore suggested to officers that any proposal regarding uniform, team name, branding etc be circulated to the Crime Scrutiny Panel for their comments and view. **Action:** Doug Wilkinson/Martin Rattigan
- 6. In response to a question from Cllr Anolue regarding how the team is working in Hammersmith and Fulham, Cllr Needs advised that quite a few boroughs have the model but some work in slightly different ways. Therefore, Enfield officers have visited these different boroughs to see how they work, look at their good practice and pick out the best bits from each to enable the most effective model going forward for Enfield and ensure its success.
- 7. In response to the Chair's question regarding training, officers advised that a whole training programme will be provided. The Community Safety Accreditation Scheme (CSAS) is specific training to deal with specific escalating issues. Superintendent Hunt added that the CSAS training is externally delivered and is specifically to do with the extra enforcement powers that may be designated. Any such powers are delegated by the Police Borough Commander.
- 8. The Chair thanked officers and Superintendent Hunt for their updates, and it was agreed that the Panel would welcome a further report once the finer details of the model had been thrashed out. Action: Doug Wilkinson/Martin Rattigan/Superintendent Rhona Hunt

#### 5. STREETLIGHTING - FOCUSING ON THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON CRIME

RECEIVED the report of Eddie Henry, Street Lighting PFI Client Manager.

Eddie Henry introduced the report which provided a background of Enfield's Street lighting, previous and existing strategies, and the on-going day to day arrangements to address any concerns that may emanate from complaints or enquiries.

Following the receipt of a small number of complaints coming from a specific area within Enfield this became an agenda item for the Crime and Scrutiny Panel meeting on 23 September 2021, following which officers were instructed to increase the output of all streetlights located in residential roads, secondary roads and footpaths within Bowes and Upper Edmonton Wards. This increase to maximum output was carried out in October 2021 and has remained since this date. The street lighting client team receive less than 1 complaint regarding poor lighting each month with the majority relating to areas where the lighting has failed. It was recognised however that assessing risk, fear and feeling safe for residents and end users can be subjective with significant variances often dependent on lifestyles and outlooks, Officers also acknowledged that there are other factors and environmental concerns that effect how individuals feel about the provision, standard and quality of lighting provided which need to be considered and balanced.

It was also understood that some residents compare Enfield's Street lighting to that of its neighbouring London Borough, Haringey. Unfortunately, officers had been unable to obtain street lighting records from neighbouring boroughs, but observations have determined that Enfield's Street lighting strategies and stock are somewhat advanced to many others.

Included in the report was data sourced from the Metropolitan Police Service dashboard and highlighted total notifiable offences from January 2021 to December 2022. This analysis was used to answer questions relating to what influence does street lighting have on crime levels in Enfield and included offences most susceptible to impact from changed lighting. Andrea Clemons, Head of Community Safety advised that these statistics did not prove a link or correlation between crime levels and the levels of lighting. She added that the figures show a 'very mixed picture' and it is therefore hard to draw any link between reported crime and lighting levels, and impossible to draw a conclusion about fear of crime and lighting levels.

In conclusion officers said that they will continue to promptly assess all reported cases where street lighting is deemed a contributory factor to a heightened feeling of fear, and advice and guidance will continue to be sought from other departments such as Community Safety to ensure any areas of attention is quickly addressed.

Questions and comments were invited by Panel Members

Cllr Gregory said that residents in the Bowes Lower Traffic Neighbourhood (LTN) felt that the light levels were much lower than in neighbouring Haringey and young women and girls did not feel safe because it was a lot darker. John Grimes, Head of Highway Operations replied that some of the principal roads in the LTB such as Brownlow Road are lit to a higher class than the side roads. He added however that there was no difference in the lighting standard applied to Bowes LTN than there is to Enfield Town, Turkey Street or any other ward in the borough.

Tim Fellows, Chair of Enfield Safer Neighbourhood Board said that the lack of passing traffic in the LTN combined with lower lighting levels was contributing to residents feeling more unsafe. He suggested lighting the LTN areas better to give people a "feeling of safety". In response John Grimes said the lighting levels in Bowes Ward had been increased by 15% but added that to the naked eye it is probably not a huge increase in actual light output. However, he said that the volume of complaints had almost diminished to zero after the light level was increased.

Superintendent Rhona Hunt referred to the 'Women's Walk and Talks' patrol with Police Officers which young women and girls can attend to discuss any issues or concerns. Rhona said that this scheme is available in all Wards and Councillors could arrange this for their residents by either getting in touch with her direct or contacting their designated Ward Officer.

In response to a question from Cllr Anolue regarding the setting of streetlight levels, officers advised that these were set in accordance with British Standards. They said that complaints were also received from residents in relation to light pollution and therefore needed to strike a balance between environmental needs, economic realities and delivering lighting that conforms to the British Standard.

Cllr Grumi suggested residents in the Fox Lane LTN would also like a 15% uplift in street lighting levels. Officers said they would investigate whether it was possible to increase the brightness of streetlamps in areas of concern.

**Action: John Grimes/Doug Wilkinson** 

Time Fellows asked when the programme of replacing SON streetlamps with LED was likely to be completed. Officers explained that LED lighting is not suitable for all types of streetlamps, so for instance heritage ones will stay on a non-monitored system and the decision has been taken to maintain these so as not to ruin the look and balance of the street scene.

The Chair thanked officers for their informative update.

# 6. SSCB PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT - MONITORING UPDATE

RECEIVED the report of Andrea Clemons, Head of Community Safety.

Andrea Clemons introduced the report, which set out the performance against agreed priorities of the Safer and Stronger Communities Board up to 31<sup>st</sup> January 2023. It was noted that due to changes in accessing data some crime categories, including Serious Youth Violence, had not been included as. The key points in the report were highlighted and it was advised that Total Notifiable Offences in Enfield decreased by 5.3% in the year ending January 2023. In London, there was also a decrease of 8.9% in the same period. Further data, comparisons, and trends were provided for each category. Discussions took place and clarification was sought on some of the information included in the report, to which Andrea provided detailed responses.

Superintendent Hunt highlighted the other aspects of the Commissioner's Metropolitan Police Service (MPS) Turnaround Plan for the Panel and the impact and relevance it will have. Firstly, is the Stronger Safer Neighbourhood Policing Model which will be welcomed by many. She then went on to speak about the second part regarding the SSCB priorities which is relevant to the performance of partnerships and all residents. She said that for guite a significant period, the MPS has focused on high harm offences rather than high volume offences and to date from the top of the MET down, this has been the focus and driving point. However, since the new Commissioner had taken up post, there has been a real shift and in essence, (and almost immediately, although it comes in formally in April as part of the new performance package) there is now a recognition that the focus hasn't been on what actually matters to a lot of Londoners, and that is high volume crime such as burglary, ASB, theft from motor vehicles and hate crime which are now deemed as neighbourhood crime types and, moving forward, there will be a real focus and push in these areas. Rhona said that the MPS are not leaving behind the focus on violence and violence against women and girls. but it is now not the MET's sole focus. She said that the Neighbourhood Teams have been doing their best to deal with these specific types of neighbourhood crimes, but without the resources required, the performance focus and the backup of their Senior Leadership Teams, they have not always been affective in these crime types. Thinking forward to next year and coming back to the Crime Scrutiny Panel, Rhona said that she hoped to be able to demonstrate that as a partnership the MPS have been able to focus on a lot of the issues that the Community Safety Team and the Scrutiny Panel bring forward on a regular basis, which is high volume crime. She hoped that this was good news and invited Members and residents to complete the MPS Turnaround Plan survey as the views and voices of the community were vital to ensuring a more focused approach.

The Chair thanked Superintendent Hunt and Andrea for their updates. He said it was disappointing to see some crime figures increasing but encouraging to see others decreasing and he hoped this downward trend would continue. He also thanked Andrea for explaining the figures clearly which meant that no additional questions were raised by the Panel members.

# 7. WORK PROGRAMME 2022/23

This was the last meeting of the municipal year, and the work programme was now completed.

Members were thanked for their participation on the Panel during this municipal year.

# 8. DATES OF FUTURE MEETINGS

This was the last meeting of the municipal year. Meeting dates for 2023/24 would be approved at Annual Council on 10 May.

The meeting ended at 9.10 pm.